

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,206 07/24/2003		Harry Israel Ringermacher	120631-1	4236	•
6147 7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER		1
GENERAL E	LECTRIC COMPANY		VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
GLOBAL RES	SEARCH CKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A	.50	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309			2859		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A.	K
T 1	1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,206	RINGERMACHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gail Verbitsky	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .					
· — ·	<u>_</u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 15-22 and 28 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		• .				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22 and 28</u> is/are rejected.	,	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,	s have been received.					
The second secon						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/24/2005.	6) Other:	atom rippinguion (i 10 102)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zalameda et al. (U.S. 20030193987) [hereinafter Zalameda] in view of Erhardt (U.S. 20020180384/ U.S. 6583588)

Zalameda discloses in Fig. 1 a thermography IR imaging device comprising a flash lamp 54a, 54b heating an object, an IR camera 55 configured to capture plurality of images/ frames, a shutter electronics (actively quenching means) 56 configured to shut the flash lamps and thus, to actively cool them.

Although it is known in the art that any device should have an initial control to initiate an action (i.e., power on/ off), Zalameda does not explicitly teach a control signal T2, in combination with the remaining limitations of claims 15-20 and 24. Zalameda does not explicitly teach to quench the lamp so as to control the lamp duration.

Erhardt discloses a device/ timing controller/ timing generator / clock (logic level signals) comprising a first timer and a second timer, the first timer T_ oper (T0) controlling an operating mode (control operating mode duration) of a (illuminating) lamp, and the second timer T_cool (T2) controlling a cooling mode (control) the lamp. There is a power switching means/ device 54 for providing power, and thus, inherently, voltage/ current to the lamp during the operating mode and removing power from the lamp during cooling mode (paragraph [0029]). Power is applied to the lamp at a block 22 and

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206

Art Unit: 2859

the first timer of the timing controller is initialized at a block 24 and the lamp is at its operating mode. The switching device 54 is controlled by a control circuit (switch drive circuit) 52 and can be a triac, relay or other switching device (paragraph [0027]) supplying a lamp trigger (on/ off) signal (T1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a control device, as taught by Erchardt, to the device disclosed by Zalameda, so as to have a cyclic heating and cooling control of the illuminating means (lamp), so as to prevent the lamp overheating, as very well known in the art.

3. Claim 15 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zalameda in view of and Narita (U.S. 6759793).

Zalameda discloses in Fig. 1 a thermography IR imaging device comprising a flash lamp 54a, 54b heating an object, an IR camera 55 configured to capture plurality of images/ frames, a shutter electronics (actively quenching means) 56 configured to shut the flash lamps and thus, to actively cool them.

Although it is known in the art that any device should have an initial control to initiate action, Zalameda does not explicitly teach a control signal T2, in combination with the remaining limitations of claims 15, 24 and 29. Zalameda does not explicitly teach to quench the lamp so as to control the lamp duration.

Narita teaches in Fig. 2 to cool an (mercury/ arc, col. 4, line 60) illuminating lamp by actively quenching the lamp by providing cooling means 50, inherently, controlled by a cooling (quench) control signal (T2/ duration control) provided by means 60, or providing current to the lamp by means 60 (T0) which has a starter (lamp trigger signal T1) by which a high voltage pulse is applied to operate the lamp and thus, the lamp is initiated (T2) (col. 5, lines 23-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206

Art Unit: 2859

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the flash lamp disclosed by Zalameda and Erchardt with an arc lamp, as taught by Narita, because both of them are alternate types of heating lamps which will perform the same function, of illuminating/ heating the object whose image is to be taken, if one is replaced with the other.

4. Claims 21-22 and 28 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zalameda and Erchhardt as applied to claims 15-20 and 24 above, and further in view of INTEGRATED GATE-COMMUTATED THYRISTORS. Article by Carroll et al. [hereinafter Article]

Zalameda and Erchhardt disclose the device as stated above in paragraph 2.

They do not explicitly teach that the switch is a power semiconductor switch/ an insulated gate bipolar transistor.

Article teaches to use a power semiconductor switch such as IGCT or MOSFET or IGBT since they have very good performance in power and temperature cycling.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the switching device disclosed by Zalameda and Erchardt with a switching device, as taught by Article, because power semiconductors known as IGCT have high speed and reliability, as already suggested by Article, and thus high performance ensuring a high accuracy of cooling the illuminating device.

Response to arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Zalameda does not teach an active quenching of a flash lamp to control duration of flash. This argument is not persuasive because Zalameda teaches to actively quench the lamp by using a controlled shutter to cover the lamp, and thus, by letting the lamp to cool, Erchhardt (see paragraph 2) teaches to control the quench time/ duration. Thus, the combination of Zalameda and Erchhardt teaches to actively cool the lamp including the newly added limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Ina et al. U.S. 20020081111A1 teach in paragraph [0028] quenching a flash or timing the flash (control flash duration.

Yamada U.S. 4021698 teach quenching a flash to watch (control) the flash duration.

Adams et al. U.S. 4831410 teach quenching a flash to control flash duration.

EP 000773469A1 teach automatically quenching a flash to control the flash duration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner

Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00

ET.

GKV

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206 Page 6

Art Unit: 2859

October 07, 2005